

## Series of newspaper postings on the divorce of Maria Caylor Stoy and Elmer Stoy

"The Legal Record, Monday, February 19, 1912 - Equity Suits," *The Washington Post*, 20 Feb 1912, p. 11; viewed on-line at <http://www.godfrey.org> (subscription service).

"The Legal Record, Friday, February 23, 1912 - Supreme Court, District of Columbia," *The Washington Post*, 24 Feb 1912, p. 9; viewed on-line at <http://www.godfrey.org> (subscription service).

"The Legal Record, Wednesday, November 6, 1912 - Supreme Court, District of Columbia," *The Washington Post*, 7 Nov 1912, p. 11; viewed on-line at <http://www.godfrey.org> (subscription service).

"The Legal Record, Thursday, December 12, 1912 - Supreme Court, District of Columbia," *The Washington Post*, 13 Dec 1912, p. 15; viewed on-line at <http://www.godfrey.org> (subscription service).

"The Legal Record, Thursday, December 19, 1912 - Supreme Court, District of Columbia," *The Washington Post*, 20 Dec 1912, p. 10; viewed on-line at <http://www.godfrey.org> (subscription service).



#' THE LEGAL RECORD. o> ' .

*The Washington Post* (1877-1954); Feb 20, 1912; ProQuest Historical Newspapers The Wash  
pg. 11

## THE LEGAL RECORD.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1912.

### Equity Suits.

No. 30827. Emma P. Burchell vs. John B. Burchell; divorce. Plaintiff's attorney, W. E. Ambrose.

No. 30828. Kathleen P. Crampton vs. Benjamin P. Crampton; divorce. Plaintiff's attorney, W. E. Ambrose.

No. 30829. Helen C. Birch vs. Joseph V. Birch; divorce. Plaintiff's attorney, W. E. Ambrose.

No. 30830. Marion G. Temple vs. Harry Kline Temple; to annul marriage. Plaintiff's attorney, W. E. Ambrose.

No. 30831. Maria M. Stoy vs. Elmer C. Stoy; maintenance. Plaintiff's attorneys, Oscar Nauck and T. L. Jeffords.

No. 30832. Blanche M. Hell vs. Frank J. Hell; limited divorce. Plaintiff's attorneys, W. J. Lambert and R. H. Yeatman.

No. 30833. In re petition of Emma M. Miles to substitute trustee. Attorney, Howard Boyd.

## THE LEGAL RECORD.

*The Washington Post* (1877-1954); Feb 24, 1912; ProQuest Historical Newspapers  
pg. 9

## THE LEGAL RECORD.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1912.

### Supreme Court, District of Columbia.

EQUITY COURT NO. 1—Chief Justice Clabaugh.

Stoy vs. Stoy; hearing on rule continued. Plaintiff's attorneys, Oscar Nauck and T. L. Jeffords.

Bacon vs. Pike; leave to amend granted. Plaintiff's attorney, John Ridout; defendant's attorneys, Blair & Hillyer, Coombe, Miller.



# THE LEGAL RECORD.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1912.

## Supreme Court, District of Columbia.

EQUITY COURT NO. 1—Mr. Justice Barnard.

Grover vs. Grover; auditor's report confirmed.  
Attorney, A. C. Wells.

Rupert vs. Rupert; C. C. Miller appointed to defend and proof ordered taken before Oscar Nauck, examiner. Plaintiff's attorney, Mark Stearman; defendant's attorney, C. C. Miller.

O'Brien vs. Luckett; order appointing guardian ad litem. Plaintiff's attorney, D. W. O'Donoghue.

McNeill vs. Mosheval; order continuing rule. Plaintiff's attorneys, McNeill & McNeill; defendant's attorneys, C. R. Wilson and R. S. Huldeker.

Assignment for today:

No. 9. Huffy vs. Huffy. Attorneys, Davis—Burke.

No. 21. Caldwell vs. Caldwell. Attorneys, Reilly—Ambrose.

No. 47. Sutphen vs. Sutphen. Attorneys, Coombe, Williamson—Carter, Miller.

No. 49. Smith vs. Smith. Attorneys, Peyton—Collins.

No. 51. Lewis vs. Lewis. Attorneys, Douglas, Baker, Ruffin & Obear—Gies, Ridout, Truitt.

No. 53. Stoy vs. Stoy. Attorneys, Nauck, Jeffords—Ambrose.

No. 55. Smith vs. Smith. Attorneys, Boyd, Wil-



""o^"IPii^iiii'REGiir":7"

The Washington Post (1877-1954); Dec 13, 1912; ProQuest Historical Newspapers The Washington Post  
pg. 15

# THE LEGAL RECORD.

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 12, 1912.

## Supreme Court, District of Columbia.

EQUITY COURT NO. 1—Mr. Justice Barnard.

Horstkamp vs. Kearney; sale of real estate ratified. Plaintiff's attorney, J. R. Fague; defendant's attorney, C. S. Shreve, jr.

National Savings and Trust Company vs. Gorman; guardian ad litem appointed. Plaintiff's attorneys, George Francis Williams and Frank Stetson.

James vs. Cook; sale ratified. Plaintiff's attorney, C. C. James; defendant's attorney, R. L. Montague.

Muehleisen vs. O'Connell; order authorizing transfer. Plaintiff's attorneys, Maddox & Gatley; defendant's attorneys, C. H. Turner and A. H. Bell.

Finley vs. Nash; order restraining sale. Plaintiff's attorneys, J. S. Easby-Smith, W. E. Ambrose, and R. B. Fleharty.

Dade vs. Dade; proof ordered taken before Margaret M. Murray, examiner. Plaintiff's attorney, E. Carrington; defendant's attorney, John Ridout.

Motions for today:

No. 119. Rozer vs. May. Attorney, L. A. Baile.

No 133. O'Connor vs. Walker. Attorneys, Smith—McLanahan, Burton, Easby-Smith, Dickey.

No. 135. Stoy vs. Stoy. Attorneys, Jeffords & Nauck—Ambrose.

No. 137. Hagley vs. Arnold. Attorneys, Perry, Peyton—Ralston, Siddons & Richardson.

## THE LEGAL RECORD

The Washington Post (1877-1954); Dec 20, 1912; ProQuest  
pg. 10

# THE LEGAL RECORD.

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 19, 1912.

## Supreme Court, District of Columbia.

EQUITY COURT No. 1—Mr. Justice Barnard.

In re line of Samuel Smith; order authorizing

O'Donoghue.

Motions for today:

No. 135. Stoy vs. Stoy. Attorneys, Jeffords,

Nauck—Ambrose.

No. 141. Franklin vs. Franklin. Attorneys,

10

A

ca

